

Education Partners Solution, Inc. 3506 Hwy 6 S., Suite 226 Sugar Land, TX 77478-4401

Voice: (281) 494-0187 FAX: (281) 980-2942 Email: gifford@eps4.com

September 25, 2002

## HISD Wide Area Optical Network Infrastructure RFP ADDENDUM #2

This addendum documents the questions, answers and statements since the HISD Wide Area Optical Network Infrastructure RFP pre-proposers conference on Tuesday, September 17, 2002.

## 1. Section 1.2 Schedule of Events

- a) Q. Can a seven (7) day RFP opening date extension be granted?
  - i) A: Yes. Several vendors have requested an extension. A seven (7) day RFP opening date extension has been granted by HISD. Proposals are now due <a href="October 15">October 15</a>, 2002 at 2:00 PM.

## 2. Section 3.2 Functional Specification

- a) <u>Q: Please confirm the 10Gb Ethernet ring backbone with upgrade options to 40Gb with redundancy and restoration of less than 6ms requirement.</u>
  - i) A: 10Gb Ethernet backbone with redundancy and scalability will be implemented. <u>OPTIONS THAT WILL BE CONSIDERED</u>
    - (1) A DWDM wavelength solution with a 10Gb ring with a protected mode and restoration less than 6 ms.
    - (2) Ethernet over SONET with an OC192 ring.
    - (3) Ethernet over ATM will **not** be considered.
- b) <u>Q: Please clarify the statement "support the extension of the existing cabling system within the district".</u>
  - i) A: The ONI proposed must not limit any way the current capabilities of the existing Local Area Network cabling infrastructure. This includes the Category 5 and 5e copper cabling as well as the multimode fiber optic cabling currently installed throughout the district. This network will transport IP services.

## 3. Section 3.3.4 Adequate Hub Diversity

- a) <u>Q: Is it mandatory that hub sites be located as identified in the RFP, or will Humble ISD consider cost effective solutions with alternate hub locations?</u>
  - i) A: The hub sites identified in the RFP were specifically selected as the "high volume information content originators and consumers". If the vendor provided proposal does not affect this objected and/or a significant cost reduction can be obtained AND a cost effective migration to provide high volume service to the original sites can be accomplished, then, Yes, the district will accept this alternative.